

CAPITAL : Lettre ouverte solennelle des fidèles aux quatre évêques de la FSSPX

http://www.virgo-maria.org/articles/2006/VM-2006-10-10-A-00-Appel_aux_quatre_eveques_de_la_FSSPX.pdf

Qui et Pourquoi, depuis la mort de Mgr Lefebvre en 1991, a détourné la finalité surnaturelle de l'OPERATION-SURVIE des sacres de 1988, pour assigner à la FSSPX ce FAUX objectif prioritaire de la «ré-conciliation» avec la Rome conciliaire (en fait la «ré-conciliarisation» de la FSSPX) ?

Qui a, depuis 2000, PROMU, et Pourquoi, le FAUX préalable de l'autorisation de la messe de Saint Pie V ?

Pourquoi n'a-t-on pas posé la VRAIE question du rétablissement du VRAI Sacerdoce de VRAIS prêtres, ordonnés par des Evêques VALIDEMENT sacrés selon le rite VALIDE des Saints Ordres ?

Qui a INVENTE, et POURQUOI, le faux préalable de la levée des «excommunications» ?

Pourquoi n'a-t-on pas posé la VRAIE question de l'abrogation de *Pontificalis Romani* INVALIDE de 1968 et du rétablissement du vrai rite de la consécration épiscopale VALIDE d'avant 1968?

A quoi servirait-il, en effet, de faire dire le VRAI rite de la messe par de FAUX prêtres ?

Serait-ce donc qu'après avoir obligé de VRAIS prêtres à dire une FAUSSE messe, l'on veuille désormais faire dire la messe du VRAI rite par de FAUX prêtres ?

Serait-ce que l'on veuille «concilier» les VRAIS prêtres qui disent encore la VRAIE messe avec un clergé aussi INVALIDE que le FAUX CLERGE ANGLICAN ?

Gaude, Maria Virgo, cunctas haereses sola interemisti.
(Tractus Missæ Salve Sancta Parens)

vendredi 2 mai 2008

Ce message peut être téléchargé au format PDF sur notre site <http://www.virgo-maria.org/>.

Vers la refondation des Rédemptoristes après la trahison du Père Sim



La communauté des Rédemptoristes *Transalpins* entre en scission après l'annonce par le Père Sim de sa volonté de négocier avec Rome et de rallier l'apostat Ratzinger « *le plus vite possible* ». **Un Rédemptoriste, le Père Alphonse Marie, annonce une refondation de l'Ordre dans la fidélité à la Tradition de l'Eglise et demande au Père Sim d'en informer tous les religieux de cet Ordre afin qu'ils puissent se déterminer en conscience.** Sur la défensive et craignant de perdre ses vocations, le Père Sim ordonne le rapatriement immédiat de ses séminaristes depuis le séminaire de la FSSPX en Australie où l'abbé Scott, supérieur du séminaire, les a incités à rester fidèles à la Foi catholique et à contrer le Père Sim.

Les évènements se précipitent depuis l'annonce par le Père Michael Sim, ce religieux missionné « discrètement » dès 1988 par le ‘cardinal’ Gagnon¹, de sa volonté de rallier « *le plus vite possible* » l’abbé apostat Ratzinger. Il vient d’annoncer publiquement (annexe 3) le début de ses ‘négociations’ avec Rome, et précise qu’il est en relation avec un ‘évêque’ rédemptoriste conciliaire.

Le 1^{er} mai 2008, un religieux Rédemptoriste Transalpin, le Père Alphonse Marie, dénonce la trahison par le Père Sim, de la position et de la vocation de l'Ordre des Rédemptoristes Transalpins, il déclare devoir s'y refuser en conscience :

¹ http://www.virgo-maria.org/articles/2008/VM-2008-03-30-A-00-Pere_Michael_Partie_1.pdf
http://www.virgo-maria.org/articles/2008/VM-2008-03-21-A-00-Redemptoriste_Ralliemment.htm.pdf

« De même qu'il apparaît clairement sur votre blog à la lecture de votre "Déclaration sur les Relations avec Rome", que vous vous apprêtez à vous engager sur un chemin opposé à celui de la FSSPX, chemin de la Fraternité que vous nous aviez promis de suivre en vertu de votre vœux de fidélité au Supérieur Général de la FSSPX, il apparaît à présent tout aussi clair que le cours actuel pris par nos supérieurs ne saurait être acceptable pour nous devant Dieu et notre conscience » Père Alphonse Marie, 1er mai 2008 (annexe 1)

Le Père Alphonse Marie annonce la refondation des Rédemptoristes et demande au Père Sim d'en informer tous les religieux afin qu'ils puissent chacun se déterminer en conscience :

“Comme il serait inconcevable de la part de nos supérieurs de vouloir forcer nos confrères à accepter quelque chose de contraire à leur conscience, je vous prie de les informer qu'il va y avoir une nouvelle fondation, au temps choisi par Dieu, à l'intention de ceux qui veulent demeurer fidèles à l'intégrité des positions soutenues par le FSSPX. Ils devraient se sentir libres en conscience de prendre contact avec moi à ce sujet.” Père Alphonse Marie, 1er mai 2008 (annexe 1)

Il est sûr que le Père Sim va tenter de dissimuler cette lettre ouverte, tellement il craint de devoir affronter l'éclatement de sa communauté. Mais **il a ouvert la boîte de Pandore et désormais seule l'expulsion du Père Sim par la communauté ou son renoncement public à rallier pourrait arrêter la scission des Rédemptoristes.**

Le 28 avril 2008, **le Père Sim a annoncé le rapatriement d'urgence de ses séminaristes en formation au séminaire de la Sainte-Croix (FSSPX) en Australie.**

Le Père Michael Sim avait informé l'abbé Scott, supérieur du séminaire, de sa volonté de négocier avec Rome. Malgré cela l'abbé Scott lui avait proposé de continuer la formation de ses séminaristes.
Ensuite, selon le Père Sim :

“Néanmoins, dès le deuxième jour qui suivit leur arrivée au séminaire, l'un des trois Frères fut convoqué dans le bureau du Recteur. Au cours de cet entretien, le Recteur a suggéré qu'il était bien possible que le seul moyen de me contraindre à abandonner des négociations avec Rome serait de me menacer d'une scission dans la communauté. Cette même suggestion fut également répétée une autre fois devant les trois Frères réunis.” Père Sim, 28 avril 2008 (annexe 2)

Puis **la FSSPX a adressé un courrier au Père Sim pour lui signifier qu'en cas de signature de sa part avec Rome, la FSSPX n'accueillerait plus ses séminaristes.**

Déjà sur la défensive face aux donateurs qui s'aperçoivent que leurs dons vont être spoliés par les modernistes de Rome et que le Père Sim rejoint le camp des ennemis de la Foi catholique, le Père Sim a tenté d'empêcher ses séminaristes de le quitter ou de le contrer en leur ordonnant de revenir immédiatement chez lui.

Cependant, particulièrement prévoyant et lucide sur les questions matérielles, **le Père Sim avait bien pris soin de ne dévoiler ses batteries et sa volonté de ralliement** avec l'abbé apostat hyper-moderniste Joseph Ratzinger **qu'une fois avoir engrangés les fruits opulents de sa très récente (depuis quelques mois) et très vaste campagne mondiale de mobilisation des donateurs et d'appel à la générosité des fidèles qu'il aura ainsi dupé sciennement.**

Le Père Sim apparaît déjà comme un religieux aux abois qui, par sa volonté déloyale de ralliement aux apostats romains, est en train d'apostasier et de détruire la fondation des Rédemptoristes *Transalpins*.

Voilà où mène la recherche du compromis avec Rome et les 'discussions' pour la 'réconciliation'.
Elle ne produit que ruine et apostasie comme tout ce que provoque l'église conciliaire.

Ce schéma des Rédemptoristes² est sans doute un poisson-pilote de Rome qui teste ainsi la résistance des clercs de la Tradition. Si Mgr Fellay annonçait le ralliement de la FSSPX, nous assisterions vraisemblablement à une déclaration publique de Mgr Williamson qui appelleraient à une refondation de la FSSPX sous sa direction. Evidemment cette ‘refondation’ serait pilotée par l’agent caché de Rome, l’ex-anglican à la Rose. Le schéma permettrait ainsi à Rome de contrôler les deux anneaux : l’anneau qui aurait rallié et l’anneau de prétendu non-ralliement mais tenu par son agent.

Mgr Fellay a sous les yeux l’exemple de ce qui arriverait à la FSSPX en cas de poursuite du ‘processus de réconciliation’ amorcé en 2000 : **une scission certaine et la ruine complète de l’œuvre de Mgr Lefebvre, l’« Arche de Salut » (dixit Mgr lefebvre) de la préservation et de la pérennité du véritable Sacerdoce Sacrificiel Catholique de rite latin, sacramentellement valide.**

Continuons le bon combat

La Rédaction de Virgo-Maria

© 2008 virgo-maria.org

Annexe 1

Lettre ouverte du Père Alphonse Marie au Père Sim

<http://angelqueen.org/forum/viewtopic.php?t=19496&sid=3cf790c7708131344e9c9fea8644fac1>

St Aloysius Retreat Center
19101 Bear Creek Road
Los Gatos, CA 95033
(408) 354-7703

Open Letter to Fr Michael Mary

1 May 2008
in Ascensione Domini

Dear Fr Michael Mary,

Laudetur Jesus et Maria semper Virgo.

As it is clear from your “Declaration on Relations with Rome” that you intend on following a path opposed to that of the SSPX, and which you had us promise to follow by virtue of our vow of Fidelity to the Superior General of the SSPX, it is likewise clear that the present course taken by our superiors is unacceptable to us before God and conscience.

As it would be unconscionable for the superiors to force our confreres to accept something contrary to conscience, please inform the confreres that there will be a new foundation, in God’s time, for any who are willing to remain faithful to the integrity of the position held by the SSPX. They should be made to feel free in conscience to contact me about this.

As for those who wish to depart from the way we have taken for so many years, I wish you all the best for the future. I trust that you will continue to keep me in your prayers, as I have kept, and always will keep, your spiritual welfare in my own.

As our holy Father St Alphonsus would say: *Arrivederci a cielo!*

² Il sera intéressant d’observer si le Père Alphonse Marie (qui a quitté le Père Sim il y a quatre ans et qui officie dans les chapelles de la FSSPX aux Etats-Unis) ne serait pas lié à Mgr Williamson.

Devotedly,

Fr Alphonsus Maria, C.SS.R.

Annexe 2

Communiqué du Père Sim annonçant le rapatriement d'urgence de ses séminaristes depuis le séminaire de la FSSPX en Australie

<http://papastronsay.blogspot.com/2008/04/seminarians-return-to-papa-stronsay.html>

Monday, April 28, 2008

Seminarians return to Papa Stronsay

To answer the questions posted on Angelqueen, I make the following clarification.

Before the new seminary year began at the Holy Cross Seminary in Goulburn, Australia, I advised the seminary Rector of my reply to William of Norwich. On March 10th, the Rector replied:

“...I am very happy to hear that the Brothers will be coming back to Holy Cross to continue their studies. They are not only welcome, but very edifying for all of us. I am certainly not one of those narrow-minded persons who is unwilling to tolerate a difference of opinion on issues, and I am fully aware of the fact that as your own community, you the Redemptorists must make your own decisions, without in the slightest questioning the extraordinary wisdom, equilibrium and catholic sense of Bishop Fellay and his counsel. I am confident that these ripples will not affect our union in the combat of the Faith and for the conversion of souls, starting with our own. Please be assured of my prayers. ...”

However, on the second day after their arrival in the seminary, one of the three Brothers was called to the Rector's office. During the course of the interview the Rector suggested that possibly the only way to stop me from carrying out negotiations with Rome was by threatening to start up a breakaway community. This suggestion was also repeated at another time to all three Brothers.

I later received an email from the Society saying that if we made an agreement with Rome, our seminarians would not be welcome in any SSPX seminary.

Life is full of choices. The seminary and Society must make their choices. The much smaller Council of the Transalpine Redemptorists must also make its choices; from prayer, not pressure.

*'Let nothing disturb thee,
nothing aghrift thee,
all things are passing,
God alone suffices,
he who has God lacks nothing!'*
(St Teresa)

To this day we have had two informal talks with a Redemptorist bishop who works with the Holy See. We have not had any formal discussions, but unwilling to leave our seminarians under pressure, and not wanting to be coerced, we decided that, under the circumstances, it would be better for all concerned if the seminarians were recalled to Papa Stronsay.

Fr. Michael Mary, C.SS.R.

Communiqué du Père Sim déclarant l'ouverture de ses négociations avec Rome

Monday, April 28, 2008

Immaculate Heart of Mary, pray for us!

Declaration On Relations with Rome

Made In Honour of
Our Lady of Good Counsel
April 2008

We hold firmly with all our heart and with all our mind to Catholic Rome, Guardian of the Catholic Faith and of the traditions necessary to the maintenance of this faith, to the eternal Rome, mistress of wisdom and truth.

We refuse on the other hand, and have always refused, to follow the Rome of Neo-Modernist and Neo-Protestant tendencies, which became clearly manifest during the Second Vatican Council, and after the Council, in reforms which issued from it.

That is why, without any rebellion, bitterness, or resentment, we pursue our work of the sanctification of souls in the spirit of Saint Alphonsus under the guidance of the never-changing Magisterium, convinced as we are that we cannot possibly render a greater service to the Holy Catholic Church, to the Sovereign Pontiff, and to posterity.

That is why we hold firmly to everything that has been consistently taught and practiced by the Church (and codified in books published before the Modernist influence of the Council) concerning faith, morals, divine worship, catechetics, priestly formation, and the institution of the Church.

But now we must ask ourselves if a glimmer of light has not begun to show through the clouds of confusion that for many years have darkened the sky of eternal Rome. For we now have a Pontiff, a successor of Peter, ready to allow us to adhere fully to this timeless tradition of the Church and its complete expression in Catholic life without apparent compromise. He seems ready to "*let us do the experiment of Tradition*" as Archbishop Lefebvre asked so many years ago.

This glimmer of light has manifested itself above all in recent months in the courage with which the successor of Peter stood up against opposition from many quarters in promulgating his letter *motu proprio "Summorum Pontificum"*. As far as Roman diplomacy could allow, the Supreme Pontiff declared the vindication of all those who for years had been fighting to keep the traditional Mass, since "it was never juridically abrogated and, consequently, in principle, always permitted." Moreover, Article 3 of *Summorum Pontificum* foresees the erection of communities like our own that would "permanently" use the Missal of 1962 – it is an invitation to be in recognised communion with the Holy Father while remaining simply as we are.

If, however, Benedict XVI has shown himself ready to allow the "experiment of Tradition" to be done in communion with him and with his blessing, what are we to make of the storm of abuses and confusion that reigns in the universal Church?

If these troubled waters are the very setting for the most important Papal *motu proprio* letter of the past years, then this document ought to be understood as a call for change. These forty years of crisis, the empty convents, the abandoned presbyteries, the empty churches and the sad state of Catholic education has finally awakened the realisation at the highest level of the Church that we are in a period of crisis. This realisation has produced a

visible change in the will of Rome: no longer are the orientations of the 1960's and 70's to be imposed with the uncaring absolutism of "that period with all its hopes and its confusion." Rome is ready to admit that "omissions on the part of the Church have had their share of the blame..." Rome is ready to ask pardon for the "arbitrary deformations of the liturgy (that) caused deep pain..."²

Will we require more than one apology? The Pope wishes to see traditional Catholic life flourish once again in the bosom of the Church, and he has given approval to all the means that will allow this to happen: not only the Mass, but the sacraments, the ritual, the breviary, etc. But it is the Holy Ghost that is at work, guiding the successor of Peter even beyond what he himself may intend, for it will be through the restoration in practice of the traditional life of the Church that will be asphyxiated slowly but surely the modernist theological poison that still circulates in the bloodstream of the Church.

Henceforth, the combat for the Faith finds itself on an extended front: we are called to play a humble part in the revival of traditional Catholic practice in the universal Church for a new generation that no longer cares for the novelties of the Second Vatican Council, but thirsts for the solid Catholicism that is inseparably joined with the Mass of all time. This is the way the Church has arisen phoenix-like from all challenges she has faced in the past: by a resurgence of life in traditional Catholic practice, the doctrinal aberrations that caused decadence are shown for what they are. These are arguments that all can understand: not the intricate subtleties of the modernist mind, but the new spring shoots of the tree whence comes life.

The ambiguities of the Second Vatican Council remain to be clarified, this is certain. But far from denying the bi-millennial tradition of the Church, the Roman authorities seem ever more acutely aware of the need to reconcile the Second Vatican Council with tradition. How exactly to do so remains the poignant question of our day. It is a question that will not be solved easily nor soon – this we can gather from the manner in which the Church has dealt with problematic declarations of councils in the past. But another lesson from these past problems is that false doctrines die out over time, as their fruits are sterile. The Holy Ghost will show where the truth lies, because it is He who guides and gives life. Hence, it is sufficient for us to ask what Archbishop Lefebvre asked: *Laissez-nous faire l'expérience de la tradition*, ("let us do the experiment of Tradition") and for the rest, the answer shall soon be clear, for by their fruits we shall know them.

Can ambiguities and the confusion and false interpretations to which they give rise be the justification to stop us from accepting visible communion with Rome? As long as interpretations in contradiction to the tradition of the Church are not imposed upon us, these problems do not have to be an obstacle to union. We must simply remain free to preach the perennial doctrines of the Church, while trying to reconcile what can be reconciled "in a positive line of study and communication with the Holy See."³

Ah, but could not these offers from Rome be a "trap"? In answering this, we ought to reflect upon the extent of the liturgical reform granted and willed by the Pope. He reintroduced not only the Mass, but also the sacraments, the ritual, the pontifical, the breviary, etc. If all this were only a scheme to trick the traditionalist communities, this extension would be very dangerous for the progressivists and would ultimately run contrary to their intentions, for it makes possible the return of the entire mindset and life associated with the traditional Mass. We cannot believe that it is a trick, but a sincere attempt on the part of the Sovereign Pontiff to aright a wrong and to remedy the situation of the Church.

Given this situation where we have at least the appearance of Rome's willingness to accept us as we are, there are certain matters of Faith that will not allow us to remain inactive. It is a fundamental principle of the Church and of the Faith that in the person of the successor of Peter is to be found the lasting principle and the visible foundation of the double unity of Faith and communion.⁴ It is "in his person" that this unity is found, not in the Vatican bureaucracy. This is why we feel particularly touched by the personal intervention of the Pope in our favor.

Also, there is the visibility of the Church that urges us. During these long years of crisis our position – we feel – has not harmed the visibility of the Church because there were visible problems to account for the apparent visible break in unity. We in tradition were the object of visible injustice and of visible abuses of power. But now that the successor of Peter has diplomatically apologised and has extended his hands to us, welcoming us

simply as we are, what further visible justification will we find to refuse communion with him? We cannot expect him to solve all of the problems in the Church first, for the Pontiff sadly finds himself deprived of much of the control we would have associated with the Pontiffs of ages past. He rules now more by diplomacy than by monarchical authority. Nor can we ask the Pontiff to change the course of the bark of Peter too rapidly – a rapid movement of the rudder could sweep even more souls off the ship's deck and into the sea. And after all, this is his prudential judgment to make, not ours.

Can we choose to remain where we are under these circumstances? We have argued for years now of our "state of necessity" and of the resulting supplied jurisdiction that the Church supplies to us. But can we continue to argue this when ordinary jurisdiction is offered to us without any compromise in the Faith? Can we choose freely to remain in this irregular canonical situation where we are? In other words, can a state of necessity be the object of a choice without moral fault? Clearly not And on the other hand: are the authorities ready to accord us regular faculties? If the answer to this second question is affirmative, then we are no longer in the same case of necessity!

All these serious considerations, dear friends, move us to go and see what Rome has to say. Let not our contacts with Rome be understood as meaning that we will break off our friendship with the Society of Saint Pius X and the other traditionalist organisations around the world. On the contrary, we positively want with all our hearts to remain in contact, sharing all that we may learn with Bishop Fellay and the other heads of traditional orders for the good of tradition as a whole.

Only time will tell if the moment has come for an agreement with Rome. Prudence requires of us to proceed slowly and cautiously, reflecting well at each step of the discussions. In this, we will rely on the continued support and advice of our traditionalist friends. Our agreement must be founded upon the fundamental principles of the Church and the safeguarding of the Faith.

While asking for your prayers for this matter, we place ourselves under the patronage and protection of our Mother of Perpetual Succour, She 'who by Herself has crushed all the heresies in the whole world' *qui cunctas haereses interemit*. May She, whom St Alphonsus ever invoked as the Mother of Good Counsel, teach us to be "wise as serpents and simple as doves"⁵, while showing us how to "generously open our hearts to make room for everything that the Faith itself allows."⁶

In the octave of Our Lady of Good Counsel
28 April, 2008

Fr Michael Mary, C.SS.R.
Fr Anthony Mary, C.SS.R.

1 Benedict XVI, Letter accompanying the Motu Proprio Summorum Pontificum

2 ibid

3 Archbishop Lefebvre, Protocol of May 5th, 1988

4 Vatican Council I, Pastor Aeternus, DS. 3051

5 Matt 10:16

6 Benedict XVI, op. cit.

Pour vous abonner ou vous désabonner de la lettre d'information Virgo-Maria, veuillez remplir le formulaire disponible sur notre site <http://www.virgo-maria.org/>

©2008 virgo-maria.org